Case 1:07-cv-07909-PKC UNITED STATES DISTRICT C SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NE		Filed 08	DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #:
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NE MERVIN ROBERT HADDEN,	W YORK 	·x	DATE FILED: 8/4/08
MERVII ROBERT HADDEN,			

-against-

ORDER DENYING CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY

07 Civ. 7909(PKC)

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY,	
	Respondents.
	Х
P KEVIN CASTEL IIS D	T

Petitioner.

In a Memorandum and Order dated June 3, 2008, this Court denied the petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. In doing so, this Court wrote as follows:

The certificate of appealability provision, 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), is inapplicable to this petition brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. <u>Drax v. Reno</u>, 338 F.3d 98, 106 (2d Cir. 2003) (citing <u>Murphy v. United States</u>, 199 F.3d 599, 601 n. 2 (2d Cir.1999)). With respect to in <u>forma pauperis</u> status on any appeal taken from this Memorandum and Order (or the resulting final judgment), the Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal would not be taken in good faith.

I now have the mandate of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit which describes the petition as having been brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 and notes that the file "does not contain either a certificate of appealability or a denial therof. . . ." To the extent that the petition is construed as having been brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, a certificate of appealability is denied because the petitioner has "not has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." If the certificate of appealability provision is construed as applicable to a petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, it is denied for the same reason.

Case 1:07-cv-07909-PKC Document 22 Filed 08/04/2008 Page 2 of 2

-2-

SO ORDERED.

Dated: New York, New York August 4, 2008

P. Kevin Castel

United States District Judge